

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/814,082	03/10/1997	MASANORI TAKAHASHI	684.2465	4251	
75	90 05/16/2002				
FITZPARTICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK, N			684.2465 4251 EXAMINER NGO, HUYEN LE	HUYEN LE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A .				
	Application No.	plicant(s)					
Advisory Action	08/814,082	TAKAHASHI ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Julie-Huyen L. Ngo	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same in void abandonment which is the same in the same in void abandone in the same in the sam	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of		e i deste de la delegación	:- 1-ton - to-ma				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,13,14 and 18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8 9 16 at	nd 19-29.						

William L. Sikes Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2890

10. Other: ____

8. ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>09 May 2002</u> is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).